

# From the Left

The newsletter of the Section onMarxist Sociology of the American Sociological Association Summer 2005 Volume 26, No. 1

## **A Dysfunctional Electoral System** Douglas Kellner, University of California

The essence of democracy is the confidence of the electorate in the accuracy of voting methods and the fairness of voting procedures. In 2000, that confidence suffered terribly, and we fear that such a blow to our democracy may have occurred in 2004.

—John Conyers, Jr., Jerrold Nadler, Robert Wexler, members of the U.S. House Judiciary Committee (Note 1).

In retrospect, it is tragic that John Kerry conceded so quickly because challenging the voting system, insisting that all votes be counted, pointing to well-documented examples of voter suppression, demonstrating problems with machines that do not provide accurate counts, and dramatizing the dangers of computer hacking to fix elections could have produced impetus to reform the system. As critics have pointed out, Elections 2000 and 2004 produced more than 3 million spoiled ballots that could not be read by voting machines, generally because old machines often malfunction; 75 percent of the machines in Ohio were of this vintage. A handcount of these votes could have made a difference. There were also thousands of provisional ballots to be counted in Ohio, many absentee ballots, and many irregularities to check out. It would have been important to carry out close examinations of the computer voting machines in Ohio and Florida to see if they provided accurate results (Note 2).

Examining voting machines could lead to voting reforms, such as those in California and Nevada, which required more transparency in the process, a paper trail to scrutinize in the

case of a disputed election, and attempts to block voter fraud. There should be increased efforts to enable voter access and prevent voter suppression. Voting and counting procedures should be transparent, uniform, safe, and efficient. There should be agreed-upon recount procedures, criteria to count contested votes, and scrutiny of the process by members of both parties and professional election officials.

The problems with the U.S. election system, however, go far beyond the machines. The dysfunctionality evident in Election 2000 and 2004 reveal problems with the arguably outmoded Electoral College system and the problematical nature of the U.S. system of proportional voting. Many citizens were surprised to (Continued on page 5)

Commueu	on puge	5)

Inside This Issue:	
"Danger: The Presidency of George W. Bush" by Barbara Chasin	2
"Toward a Critical Theory of Tourism" by Kevin Fox Gotham	3
"What a Marxist Professor Should Teach" by Michael Francisconi	4
"The Downing Street Memo-Why It's Important" by Andrew Austin	19
<b>Book Announcements:</b> Globalization and Cross-Border Labor Solidarity: The Anti-Sweatshop Movement and the Struggle for Social Justice by Ralph Armbruster-Sandoval	19
Breaking the Code of Good Intentions: Everyday Forms of Whiteness	19
by Melanie E. L. Bush	
<u>ASA Annual Meeting August 2005:</u> Marxist Sessions & Roundtables	17
ISA World Congress 2006 Information	22

## From the Left Summer 2005

## **Danger: The Presidency of** George W. Bush

Barbara Chasin, Montclair State University

n January 19th, 2005, CNN devoted close to 3 hours of its prime-time evening programming, to answering the question "How Safe Are We?" Their coverage added up to an answer of "not very." Even so, the network, underestimated the threats facing Americans since its concern was exclusively with terrorism. Not surprisingly, there are no media specials on how dangerous life in the U.S. is irrespective of terrorism. The presidency of George W. Bush has added to the dangers both from terrorism and from the routine workings of our social system. Americans are at greater risk than people living in comparable countries. (Chasin 2004: 18-23) Both major U.S. political parties are dominated by corporations, while unions and progressive movements are weaker here. The weakening of unions and of organized progressive movements has meant an increase in economic inequality and greater political inequality. The corporate sector's gains in strength have meant a diminishing of regulations and the fraying of an already inadequate social safety net. Life is less healthy and safe in the United States even when Democrats hold office; but with their electoral base of people of color, working people and lower income groups, Democrats do pay more attention to the less privileged classes than do Republicans. (See Domhoff 2004: 68-69 for how this came about) Corporations know that Republi- them of. The irony is that Bush represents a cans are more dependably on their side. This is danger to the very Americans who think he is reflected in the 2004 campaign contributions. their protector. Corporate donors in the major economic sectors provided \$92,439,064 to the Bush cam- Terrorism paign compared to \$48,008,059 to Kerry's efforts. (Opensecrets 2004) Still, Bush, this time "Vote for George W. or face more terrorist atdid get more of the popular votes than his ri- tacks." However, the war in Iraq, sold as a way val.

During the 2004 campaign Bush successfully stoked people's fears and then promised to

#### Marxist Section Officers 2004-2005

Chair: David Fasenfest, Wayne State University Chair-Elect: Jeff Haley, University of Texas, San Antonio Past Chair: Kevin Anderson, Purdue University Secretary/Treasurer: Warren S. Goldstein, University of Central Florida Council Joanna A. Hadjiconstandi, University of Texas of the Permian Basin Karen Bettez Halnon, Pennsylvania State University Arthur J. Jipson, University of Dayton Lloyd Klein, Louisiana State University Jennifer Lehmann, University of Nebraska

Newsletter Editor: Karen Bettez Halnon, Pennsylvania State University Newsletter Assistant Editor: Sharon Gerczyk, Pennsylvania State University

#### From the Left Seeks Submissions

From the Left seeks submissions for its Fall 2005 issue (roughly 1,500 words). Please send proposals or texts by email to Karen Bettez Halnon at kbh4@psu.edu by August 30, 2005.

save them from the dangers he convinced

The Bush campaign pushed the message: to lessen the terrorist threat, has spawned more

(*Continued on page 6*)



## Toward a Critical Theory of Tourism

Kevin Fox Gotham, Tulane University

In this short essay I want to elaborate a nas- of commodification and bureaucratic rationalicent critical theory of tourism using the theo- zation. In his famous essay, "The Culture Inretical resources and concepts of the Frankfurt dustry Reconsidered," Adorno ([1967] 1989, p. School and other Marxian scholars. My theo- 129), criticized the transformation of spontaneretical goals are motivated by a concern that ous and authentic "popular culture" into an admuch of the academic literature on tourism ministered and reified "mass culture." Rather lacks a critical focus, fails to illuminate social than autonomous culture creation, which is relations of domination and exploitation, and characteristic of social connectedness at the neglects to analyze the contradictory and con- micro or everyday level, the culture industry flicting meanings and effects of tourism. As works through a relentless process of comthe largest industry in the world, tourism com- modification to hollow out the distinctive subprises a variety of socio-economic and cultural stantive content of social relations and their activities, including air and automobile travel, creations. In this process, culture, heritage, new forms of mobility, cruise ships, historic and tradition become centrally conceived and preservation sites, museums, casinos, theme controlled social forms, objects of marketparks, and other entertaining spaces that enable based instrumental relations that are devoid of people to consume many different commodi- emotional and sensuous life. Using Adorno's ties. As a global industry, tourism unfolds insights, a critical theory would interrogate through a process of bureaucratic rationaliza- how tourist spaces exhibit "incessantly retion and standardization in which different cit- peated formulae" that suppress spontaneity ies court the same transnational tourism firms and active creativity, and reflect and reinforce (e.g., international hotel chains, casinos, car the trends of commodification, standardization, rental agencies, etc.) and embrace similar mar- and fetishism. keting strategies, thereby creating identicalness and homogeneity. On the other hand, in an era eralized and abstract notions of tourism and of major socio-economic restructuring, places tourists and examine the interconnected politivie to differentiate themselves, playing up their cal, economic, and cultural processes that concultural distinctiveness, and advertising them- stitute the diverse forms, types, and technoloselves as places to visit. Yet many of these gies of tourism. developments remain under theoretized and would combine both macro- and micro-levels poorly understood. My goal is to begin to to explain how different governments and poremedy these problems and omissions by litical organizations work with economic elites pointing to five major dimensions of a substan- and private interests to produce tourist sites: tive critical theory for understanding and ana- how different marketers and advertising agents lyzing tourism and its social consequences.

tion that views tourism sites and sights as plu- different tourism sites and extravaganzas; and ral, multidimensional, conflictual, and contra- which contending groups use tourism to addictory. From a dialectical and non-reductive vance their own resistant agendas. perspective, a critical theory would identify and explain connections between different

## From the Left Summer 2005

forms of tourism (contrived, recreational, entertainment, heritage, etc.), different types of tourism sites (mega-events, gambling, sports, theme parks, tourist-oriented celebrations, historical sites, and so on), and different technologies of tourism (air travel, automobile travel, theming, simulation, virtual reality, and so on). What unites these diverse forms, types, and technologies of tourism are the twin processes

Second, a critical theory would eschew gen-A dialectical perspective use images and theming strategies to represent First, a critical theory embraces an orienta- tourism; which groups and interests oppose

(Continued on Page 13)

## From

## From the Left Summer 2005

### What a Marxist Professor Should Teach

Page 4

Michael Francisconi University of Montana-Western

Political Sociology is the study of power in a social setting. Power is the use of political capabilities to achieve particular goals. This political contest is carried out in a competition between diverse groups over, among other things, economic resources. Power is used to pursue a course of action against the interests of others. In doing this, use of cultural symbols charged with emotional significance is central. Political sociology explores the lived, everyday experiences of people as they are shaped by their economic position in a particular society and the world economy, which molds most political issues. The state is the tool of the dominant class or classes. Under capitalism the class that owns and controls corporate capital clearly dominates, either directly by providing leadership, or indirectly by defining the issues.

Sociology analyzes the historical juncture between worldwide trends and local issues. Anthropology gives this analysis a historical and cross-cultural reference point, supplementing sociology. Social movements are domestic affairs of local or national substance, created out of national manifestations of international trends. The fate of a social movement must resonate with the local situation, but is ultimately determined by global events. The capitalists and their supporters gain the means of support for their economic and political dominance by maximizing the illusion that their narrow interests are the national interests as a whole. This is done not only by control over economic production and distribution, but by physical means of coercion and education. Thus the capitalist state betrays its democratic justification.

Because class is the relationship to the means of production and distribution, competing classes have competing interests. Competition between capitalists is minimized long-range view, which is not limited by the short-term profits of the individual capitalist. The state can make concessions to rebellious sections of the working class to preserve capitalism even when many capitalists may disagree.

Control over the labor of the direct producers by an elite leads to resistance to domination. Ideologies of legitimacy lessen the problem, but imperfectly, because suffering is real. People create their lives through conscious action. Insight into inequalities and oppression may exist while knowledge of possible solutions is often hidden.

Social equilibrium is always threatened. While the dominant ideology legitimates existing inequalities, different classes will develop different interpretations of this ideology. These diverse interpretations of traditional dogma develop into rival opinions.

The state is the organized control over classes, class factions, and ethnic groups. In this contest competing groups do not have equal power. The dominant group controls the resources necessary for production and they define the logic of stability. The rules of political behavior are agreed upon; to go beyond the rules is to undermine the security of the whole of society.

Any collective action by the masses short of social revolution requires the strengthening of the existing state institutions and the economy upon which they rest. Every government strives for social, political, and economic order. The rules that protect the ruling class are the only acceptable political behavior In any state society.

The claim is that the state is erected outside of the daily needs of any element within society to protect the social whole. In fact it is the capitalist class that is protected from individual capitalists and other classes antagonistic to capitalism. Laws reflect these relationships. This is what gives the state its measure of autonomy.

Through the control of the popular media, churches, and schools, the capitalists make their interests appear to be that of all of society. Popular culture supports much of the *(Continued on Page 15)* 



## Page 5

A Dysfunctional Electoral System (Continued in choosing the president than in states such as Califorfrom page 1)

Electoral College involved a system whereby voters each, while Florida's electors each represent those chosen to vote in the ritual in which the 238,000. In New York, 18 million people now get 33 president was chosen did not necessarily have electoral votes for the presidency, but fewer than 14 to follow the mandate of the voters in their dis- million people in a collection of small states also get 33. trict. In practice, state legislatures began bind- As Duke University's Alex Keyssar argued in a Noing electors to the popular vote, although as vember 20, 2000, New York Times op-ed piece, disprowas abundantly clear in Election 2000, portionate weighting of the votes of smaller states vio-"faithless electors"—electors who vote for lates the principle of one person, one vote, which acwhomever they please-were theoretically cording to a series of Supreme Court decisions in the possible. (Half of the states attempt to legally 1960s, lies at the heart of U.S. democracy. "To say that bind electors to the popular vote in their state, a vote is worth more in one district than in another but it would still be possible for an elector to would ... run counter of our fundamental ideas of deshift his or her vote, a dangerous outcome for a mocratic government," the Court announced in 1964. genuinely democratic society and a possibility "Legislators," wrote Chief Justice Earl Warren, discussed after Election much "Electors" are rather mysteriously chosen in system of proportionate state votes where all states get any case and this process should be examined two votes and then the rest are divided according to and fixed.

compromise between state and local govern- populations, so that each vote throughout the nation ment. Allowing electors to choose the presi- would be equal in choosing a president. dent provided guarantees to conservatives who wanted the Electoral College to serve as a College and system of proportional representabuffer -between what they perceived as an unruly and potentially dangerous public and the in most states. As the Election 2000 Florida more educated and civic-minded legislators who could, if they wished, overturn votes by the people. Originally, the U.S. Congress was also elected in this manner. But in 1913 a constitutional amendment led to direct election of senators. Many argue this should also be the model for presidential elections. The current Electoral College system, as critics have maintained, is based on eighteenth-century concerns and is arguably obsolete and in need of systematic reconstruction in the twenty-first century.

Moreover, the proportional representation system in the Electoral College has serious problems that surfaced in the heated debates over Election 2000. Smaller states are disproportionately awarded with Electoral College votes, so that voters in less populated states such as Idaho or Wyoming have more proportionate influence

nia or New York. As Jim Hightower notes, Wyolearn in the disputed Election 2000 that the ming's electors and proportionate vote represent 71,000 2000.) "represent people, not trees or acres." Thus, the current population is unfair. A more reasonable system would Initially, the Electoral College was part of a simply allot states proportionate votes according to their

Further problems with the U.S. Electoral tion involve the winner-take-all rule operative battle illustrates, in a winner-take-all system,

(Continued on Page 9)

## **Progressive Sociologists** Network

If you would like to exchange views with like-minded colleagues (many of whom are members of the Marxist Section), join PSN- Progressive Sociologists Network list serve (over 700 people on the list worldwide).

Send an e-mail to:

listproc@lists.Colorado.EDU with the contents of the message being: subscribe psn your full name





Page 6

Danger: The Presidency of George W. Bush (Continued from page 2)

terrorists. Even the State Department admits an increase in terrorist incidents between 2002 and 2003, with more people being injured or killed. (Weisman 2004). CNN's broadcast never alluded to this nor to any other aspect of U.S. foreign policy.

While airline passengers are having their shoes and, in some cases, their bodies inspected at the airports, potential terrorist targets are being left unprotected. Ports, nuclear power plants, chemical plants, water supplies and vehicles transporting hazardous materials are all vulnerable to attack. Only about 6% of the "high-risk" shipping containers that are off-loaded daily at the nation's ports get inspected. CNN did note the dangers here but didn't point out that major fundraisers for the president are regulating the maritime sector. (Krikorian 2004, Public Citizen 2004). Through lobbying, individual contributions and PACS, the relevant industries spent over \$220 million to maintain their control over the nation's infrastructure (Brzezinski 2004, Canipe 2004: 10).

The chemical industry, ignored by CNN, provides an example. There are 15,000 chemical factories in the U.S. Security at these plants ranges from totally absent to inadequate. Bush moved chemical security from the EPA to the department of Homeland Security. The EPA had identified 123 chemical facilities which if attacked posed a threat to over 1,000,000 people and 700 endanger over 100,000. Tom Ridge's department decreased that number to 2 facilities. The EPA has enforcement powers to compel security measures; Homeland Security relies on voluntary measures by the chemical industries, which have an interest in not spending money, and an aversion to government regulation (Kennedy 2004, Hind and Halperin 2004).

The systematic use of torture to allegedly attain information has been receiving attention. Yet non-abusive forms of intelligence gathering are short changed. This was also ignored by CNN. As of late September 2004 the FBI had not yet translated over 120,000 hours of what a New York Times' journalist described as "potentially valuable terrorism-related recordings." The reason according to the FBI's Inspector-General is that they do not have the resources to do the necessary work speedily and accurately. (Lichtblau 2004)

#### Health and the Environment

Whatever the potential terrorist threats, every day millions of Americans are at risk from environmental contamination, workplace hazards, and inadequate health care. Little media attention is given to these systemic threats. Some protections have been put into place because of past social activism. The Bush administration threatens to undo these.

Bush's misleadingly named "Clean Skies" initiative would greatly undermine previously mandated limits on the pollutants causing smog, acid rain, sulfur dioxide, and nitrogen oxide. The EPA is creating new rules that allow companies to have, in the words of a National Association of Manufacturers official, "a refreshingly flexible approach to regulation." In a less sanguine vein the American Lung Association referred to Bush's roll back of air quality rules as "the most harmful and unlawful air-pollution initiative ever undertaken by the federal government" (Shaw 2004, quotes in Barcolli 2004: 76). Mercury poisoning can cause brain damage in children and fetuses. In the decade before Bush took office, government regulations had reduced mercury emissions from waste incinerators, but omitted regulating those from power plants. This was about to be addressed by the EPA, but when Bush took office he interfered with the regulatory review process. Additionally, an EPA report on mercury risk was edited to downplay the risks (Krugman 2004, Lee, Nussbaum 2004).

Global warming threatens the whole planet. It is linked to an increase in "natural" disasters. (BBC) In the United States deadly heat waves such as that in Chicago in 1995, and diseases associated with warmer climates, such as West Nile fever, are among the outcomes, and it is likely the recent torrential rain storms in (Continued on Page 7)

Danger: The Presidency of George W. Bush (Continued from page 6)

California are also a result (Alley 2004, Steven 2004, Luers 2004). Yet when the EPA issued a report on climate change, the writers were ordered to make changes that minimized the impact of human activity. What the Union of Concerned Scientist describes as a "discredited study of temperature records, funded in part by the American Petroleum Institute" was made a part of the EPA's document on climate change (Union of Concerned Scientists, 2). The Bush administration also withdrew promised support for the Kyoto treaty.

Lead poisoning wreaks havoc on children's developing bodies. Experts on lead poisoning recommended by the federal government's Centers for Disease Control to serve on a committee on the problem were rejected by first term Secretary of Health and Human Services Tommy Thompson. Lead industry choices were selected in their place. (Johnson, Meter, 3).

#### Workers

Work has become even more dangerous and unhealthy under Bush. The administration plans to reduce the number of workplace inspectors, cut funding for workers' safety training programs, and cut funding for research on workers' health and safety. The White House administration is ignoring OSHA recommendations that would protect construction workers, miners, chemical industry employees and others. (Drutman). Higher levels of coal dust will be allowed in mines and the hours truckers can drive continuously have been lengthened (Brinkley).

Following ten years of efforts by the labor movement, the Clinton administration enacted strong ergonomic rules. When Bush took office in January 2001, the White House backed a repeal of these protections. The AFL-CIO reports that since the rollback about 1.8 million workers have had ergonomic problems (Multinational Monitor, AFL-CIO). Science

Accurate information is essential for people to make informed decisions to protect

## From the Left Summer 2005

themselves and others. The Bush administration has attacked science, scientists, and the public's access to information, excising from government agency websites over six thousand documents which detail the dangers of environmental contamination, and the transporting and piping of hazardous substances. Executive orders have increased the EPA's power to keep information secret. The public will not have access to some information on dangerous vehicles. (Brinkley) Funding for the National Science Foundation has been drastically cut. (Chait)

In July 2004, over 4000 scientists signed a statement tellingly titled "Restoring Scientific Integrity in Policy Making," charging the administration with distorting and suppressing scientific knowledge. The endorsers include 127 National Academy of Science members, 48 of them Nobel Prize winners. (Revkin).

#### Conclusion

The corporate media, such as CNN, while providing some useful information, do not systematically expose the hypocrisy of the president, nor analyze the real dangers that people face as a result of the current workings of Bush-era American capitalism. A new opium of the people, the media soothes, distracts, misleads, and weakens the ability to act. Failing to expose systemic dangers, it "culture contributes instead to а of fear" (Glassner, 1999). The American people are told that that they are threatened by Islamic fanatics, young black men, uncontrollable accidents, and disasters, but are never warned about the workings of a less and less regulated capitalist system, accompanied by cuts to social programs that take their own toll (Piven: Pear). We on the left have the opportunity and responsibility to challenge this view.

#### References

- AFL-CIO. 2002Safety and Health Fact Sheet, April.
- Alley, Richard. 2004. Abrupt Climate Change, Scientific American, November, 62-69.

Barcoli, Bruce. 2004 .Changing All the Rules, New York Times Magazine, April 4, 39-44, 66, 73. 76-78.

- Brinkley, Joel. 2004. Out of Spotlight Bush Overhauls U.S. Regulations, New York Times, August 14.
- BBC News. Natural Disasters 'on the rise.' http://newsvote.bbc.co.uk/mpapps/ pagetools/print/nes.bbc.co.uk/1/...

Brzezinski, Matthew. 2004. Red Alert. Mother Jones, September+October, 38-43, 92, 93-94.

- Canipe, LuAnn. 2004 Homeland UnSecured [sic], PublicCitizenNews,November/December 2004.
- Chait, Jonathan. 2004. Billions for Pork as Science is Slashed. Los Angeles Times, Multinational Monitor. 2003. Workers At Risk: December 31.
- Chasin, Barbara. 2004. Inequality and Violence in the United States: Casualties of Capitalism. 2<sup>nd</sup> edition. Amherst, NY: Humanity Nussbaum, Alex. 2004. Scientist: Mercury Press.
- Domhoff, G. William. 2004. "State and Ruling Class in Corporate America: Reflections, Opensecrets.http://opensecrets.org/presidential/ Corrections and New Directions." Pp. 63- sector.asp? 71 in Enriching the Sociological Imagina- Pear, Robert. 2005 Applying Brakes To Benefits tion, edited by Rhonda F. Levine.
- Drutman, Lee. 2004. Dissecting the Bush Administration: Labor, Multinational Piven, Frances Fox. 2004. The War At Home, Monitor, May/June 2004, 17
- Glassner, Barry. 1999. The Culture of Fear: Why Americans Are Afraid of the Wrong Things. New York: Basic Books.
- Hind, Rick and David Halperin, Lots of Chemicals, Little Reaction, New York Times, 9/22/04, A 23.
- Johnson, Wendy. 2003. The Legacy of Lead: Pervasive Poisoning: Suspect Science and the Industry Effort to Escape Liability, Multinational Monitor, April, 15-16.
- Kennedy, Robert F. Jr. 2004. A Terror Attack, Angeles Times. October 7.
- Krikorian, Greg. 2004. Ports Called 'Enormous Target', Los Angeles Times, November 26. apgeID=1363 cent that is examined.

## From the Left Summer 2005

- Krugman, Paul.2004. The Mercury Scandal New York Times, April 6.
- Lee, Jennifer 8. 2004. White House Minimized the Risks of Mercury in Proposed Rules, Scientists Say, New York Times, April 7.

Lichtblau, Eric. 2004. F.B.I. Said to Lag On Translations of Terror Tapes, New York Times, September 28.

Luers, Amy Lynd. 2004. A Tale of Two Futures: California feels the Heat, Catalyst: The Magazine of the Union of Concerned Scientists Vol. 3, No., 2, Fall, 8-9, 20.

- Meter, Alden. 2004. Bringing Science Back to the People, Catalyst: The Magazine of the Union of Concerned Scientists, Vol. 3, No. 1, Spring, 2-4
- The Dangers on the Job When the Regulators Don't try Very Hard, Vol. 24, No. 6, June, 21-26
- report altered. The Record (Bergen County, NJ), April 8.
- Gets Wide G.O.P. Backing, New York Times, January 9.
- New York: The New Press.
- Public Citizen News. 2004. Homeland Unsecured: Five Failures, November/ December 4-5.
- Shaw, Jeff. 2004. Dissecting the Bush Administration: Dirtying the Skies, Multinational Monitor, May/June, 23-24.
- Revkin, Andrew C. 2004. Bush vs. the Laureates: How Science Became a Partisan Issue" New York Times, October 19,
- Too Much. 2004. November 15, Union of Concerned Scientists, Restoring Scientific Integrity in Coming Soon to a Plant Near You. Los Policymaking; and Global Environment: Climate Change Research Distorted and Suppressed http:// www.ucusa.org/global environment/rsi/page.cfm?
- Kennedy cited above says t is only one per- Weisman, Steve, 2004 State Department Report Shows Increase in Terrorism, New York Times, June 23.

## Page 9

A Dysfunctional Electoral System (Continued from page 5)

goes to a 50.1 percent majority in presidential dollars are needed for a federal election, enelections (or less if there were more than two sures that only candidates from the two major candidates, as is increasingly the case in presi- parties have a chance of winning, that only dential elections). Maine and Nebraska are ex- candidates who are able to raise millions of ceptions, and it would be possible to follow dollars can run, and that those who do run and their example and to split presidential state win are beholden to those who have financed votes proportionately according to the actual their campaigns—guaranteeing control of the percentage of votes candidates get in each political system by corporations and the separate state, rather than following the win- wealthy. ner-take-all rule, where a handful of votes in a state such as Florida, or Ohio, gives the entire amount of money pumped into the \$3-billionstate, and even the election, to one candidate.

tem of proportional representation should be the moneyed interests funding the election, and seriously debated and reforms should be under- would thus avoid key issues of importance and taken if U.S. democracy is to revitalize itself in concern. The debts accrued by the two major the coming years after the debacle of 2000 and parties to their contributors were obvious in the persistent questions concerning 2004. As many initial appointments made by the Bush-Cheney have argued, there are strong reasons for pro- Election 2000 transition team, which rewarded portionate representation in U.S. presidential precisely those corporations and supporters elections. However, separation of election offi- who financed the Bush presidency. The Bush cials from political operatives and the training administration provided legislative awards for of professional, nonpartisan election workers its major contributors, allowing the big corposhould also be on the reform agenda. In Elec- rations that supported them to write Bush adtion 2000, Florida Secretary of State Katherine ministration energy and communication policy Harris, also head of the Bush-Cheney ticket in and to help draft legislation for deregulation Florida, did everything possible to steal the that served their interests, in effect allowing election from Al Gore, and in 2004, Ohio Sec- big contributors to make public policy (see retary of State Kenneth Blackwell played a Kellner [2001], 187ff.). similar role. To deal with all of these problems, a high-level commission could be appointed to passed, but it has been continually watered down and is study how to modernize and update the system unlikely to reform U.S. political -funding. -Indeed, a of electing the president in the United States. Since the political establishment cannot be counted upon to undertake these reforms, it necessary for constituencieswill be academic, local, and national-to devise reforms for the seriously challenged system of "democracy" in the United States.

Furthermore, it is clear that money has corrupted the current electoral system and that campaign finance reform is necessary to avoid overwhelming influence by lobbies, corpora-

tions, and the corruption that a campaign system fueled by megabucks produces. The 100 percent of the state's electoral votes current election system, in which millions of

In Elections 2000 and 2004, the excessive plus electoral campaigns guaranteed that nei-Hence, the Electoral College and U.S. sys- ther candidate would say anything to offend

> In 2001, a McCain-Feingold finance reform bill was record amount of money was raised for the 2004 election as loopholes were exploited to create new types of fundraising and political action groups. Thus, there is a definite need for public financing of elections. Four states currently allow full public financing for candidates who agree to campaign with fundraising and spending limits (Arizona, Maine, Massachusetts, and Vermont), and this would be a splendid model for the entire nation.10 Public financing for elections at local,

> > (Continued on page 10)

## Page 10

A Dysfunctional Electoral System (Continued *from page 9)* 

state, and national levels would only be viable in a media era with free national television, free access to ried forth to prevent voter suppression and prolocal media, and Internet sites offered to the candidates. vide adequate voting machines to all precincts, Hence, the television networks should be required to independent of their wealth or political connecprovide free national airtime to presidential candidates tions. Once again in 2004, the Republicans to make their pitches, and television-paid political ad- practiced systematic voter suppression, chalvertising should be eliminated (see the elaboration of lenging voters at the polls and intimidating pothis argument in Kellner 1990). The broadcasting net- tential voters in a myriad of ways. In addition, works were given a tremendous bonanza when the once again there were a shocking lack of vot-Federal Communications Commission provided a ing machines and personnel, especially in wealth of spectrum to use for digital broadcasting, dou- swing minority and student precincts that typibling the amount of space it licensed to television cally vote Democratic. There should be strong broadcasters with estimates of the value of the space penalties for voting suppression, fraud, too few costing up to \$70 billion. Congress failed to reestablish voting machines, and inadequate poll staffing. public service requirements that used to be in place before the Reagan-Bush-Clinton deregulation of telecom- holiday, as many countries have, so that workmunications. As fair payback for the broadcast spec- ing people can vote without economic penalty. trum giveaway, broadcast media should provide free One of the scandals of Election 2004 was the airtime for political discourse that strengthens democ- terribly long lines in minority and workingracy.

works to enable the public to get free messages and not enough polling staff. There were refrom the candidates, but they were defeated. ports in Ohio of lines lasting hours (especially President Clinton appointed an advisory panel in heavily Democratic neighborhoods), forcing to assess how to update public service require- many to leave the lines to return to work. This ments of television broadcasts in the wake of is an intolerable situation in a democracy and the spectrum giveaway. The panel recom- efforts should be made to maximize voting acmended that television broadcasters voluntarily cess; to simplify voting procedures; and to prooffer five minutes of candidate-centered air- vide adequate, trained, and nonpartisan electime in the 30 days before the election. Clinton tion staff as well as reliable and trustworthy proposed this recommendation in his 1998 machines. State of the Union address, but broadcasters fiercely rejected the proposal. In the Senate, Dewey argued (1917), citizenship education as John McCain and Conrad Burns announced well voter literacy. Ballots are often highly that they would legislatively block the FCC's complex and intimidating and there should be free airtime initiative. In fact, political adver- efforts to begin educating people of all ages tising is a major cash cow for the television and walks of life on how to vote. Better denetworks who regularly charge political candi- signed ballots and more reliable voting systems dates excessively high rates, although they are are obviously a prerequisite for voting reform, supposed to allow "lowest unit charge" (LUC) but individuals need to be better informed on for political advertising. Such LUC rates, how- how to vote and what the specific issues are on ever, mean that the ads could be preempted, ballots, ranging from local to state and national and desperate campaigns want to make sure issues. that they get their advertising message out at a

crucial time and thus are forced to pay higher rates.

Voter rights initiatives also need to be car-

There also should be a National Voting Day class neighborhoods in Ohio and elsewhere, Efforts were made to get the television net- due to inadequate numbers of voting machines

In addition, schools should provide, as

(Continued on page 11)

## Page 11

#### A Dysfunctional Electoral System (Continued from page 10)

in serious crisis, and unless there are reforms, its decline will accelerate. Although voter par- progressive movements to revitalize democticipation increased from an all-time low in racy and bring an end to the current conserva-1996 of 49 percent of the eligible electorate to tive hegemony. After the defeat of Barry Gold-51 percent in Election 2000 and 60 percent in water in 1964 when conservatives were routed Election 2004, this percentage is still fairly and appeared to be down for the count, they low. The United States is on the low end of built up a movement of alternative media and democratic participation in presidential elec- political organizations; liberals and progrestions among democracies throughout the sives now face the same challenge. In the curworld. Obviously, much of the country remains rent situation, we cannot expect much help alienated from electoral politics despite hotly from the corporate media and need to develop contested elections in 2000 and 2004.

access to information and thus the viability of in the fields of documentary film, digital video democracy is dependent on citizens seeking and photography, community radio, public acout crucial information, having the ability to cess television, an always-expanding progresaccess and appraise it, and to engage in public sive print media, and an ever-growing liberal conversations about issues of importance. De- and progressive Internet and blogosphere. mocratic media reform and alternative media While the right has more resources to dedicate are thus crucial to revitalizing and even pre- to these projects, the growth of progressive deserving the democratic project in the face of mocratic public spheres has been impressive. powerful corporate and political forces. How Likewise, the energy, political organization, media can be democratized and what alterna- and finances mobilized to attempt to defeat the tive media can be developed will of course be Bush-Cheney Gang were impressive, but more different in various parts of the world, but needs to be done to defeat the conservatives, without a democratic media politics and alter- building on the achievements of the past years. native media, democracy itself cannot survive in a vigorous form, nor will a wide range of involves sustained struggle against Bush adsocial problems be engaged or even addressed. ministration policies to help to bring the most Reinvigorating democracy also requires a re- rightwing regime in recent US history to an construction of education with expanded litera- end, and to fight for a revitalization of democcies, democratized pedagogies, and education racy and a progressive agenda. To conclude, for citizenship. As John Dewey long ago argued (1917), education is an essential prerequisite for democracy and public education should strive to produce more democratic citizens. A reconstruction of education also requires cultivating media, computer, and multiple literacies for a computer-based economy and information-dependent society (Kellner 2002 and an instrument of progress. Coming out of the 2004). În an increasingly technological society, civil rights struggles, there was new hope that media education should become an important democracy and freedom really were on the part of the curriculum, with instruction focused march and that reactionary forces were being

on critical media and computer literacy as well as on how to use media for expression, There is little doubt that U.S. democracy is communication, and social transformation.

Alternative media need to be connected with ever more vigorous alternative media. The past Democracy requires informed citizens and several years have seen many important steps

The agenda for the Left the next four years I'd like to quote a passage from Tony Kushner's recent play Caroline, or Change. The play is set in the 1960s at the time of the Kennedy assassination when much of the world looked to the United States as a beacon of hope and to the Kennedy administration as

(*Continued on page 12*)

Page 12

A Dysfunctional Electoral System (Continued Electoral College if every state received electoral from page 11)

can. In the play's epilogue, Caroline's teenage take away the two electors for each senator, and daughter talks of how she and some friends just apportion electors by number of Representahad just torn down a Civil War statue, signify- tives (i.e., in proportion to population), Gore wins

You can't hold on, you nightmare men, Your time is past now on your way Get gone and never come again! For change come fast and change come slow but Everything changes! And you got to go!

**References:** 

- Ceaser, James W., and Andrew E. Busch. 2001. The Perfect Tie. Lanham, MD: Rowman and Littlefield.
- Schechter, Danny. 2001. Mediocracy 2000-Hail to the Thief: How the Media Stole the U.S. Presidential Election. Electronic book available at www.mediachannel.org.

#### Notes

This text is excerpted from Media Spectacle and the Crisis of Democracy just published by Paradigm Press.

2For a wide range of materials on voter suppression, machine malfunctions, potential fraud and corruption, and thousands of voting problems in Election 2004, see the sources at http:// www.ejfi.org/Voting/Voting-1.htm; http:// www.votersunite.org; http:// www.openvotingconsortium.org; http:// www.demos-usa.org; and http://www.verified voting.org; and http://www.blackboxvoting.org. The only mainstream media figure following the 2000 voting fraud and corruption controversy was MSNBC's Keith Olbermann on his nightly news show Countdown and in his blog Bloggermann at http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/6210240.

for Electoral College reform at www.alternet.org. 2000," in Schechter (2001), pp. 77-92. In another In his December 4, 2000 online interview, Howard important article in Schechter (2001), Lawrence K. Kurtz noted that Gore would have won the

votes in proportion to population: "Bush won 30 defeated, making one proud to be an Ameri- states for 271 and Gore won 21 for 267. But if you ing the legacy of racism, and she declared 225 to 211" (http://www.washington post.com/ wpsrv/liveonline/00/politics/media backtalk120400.htm).

> <sup>4</sup>In a chapter on "Electoral Reform" after Election 2000, Ceaser and Busch (2001) lay out the case for a proportional representation system, as opposed to a direct popular majority vote electoral system, but do not consider the strong arguments that I cite above to eliminate the "unfaithful elector" problem by mandating direct presidential voting, nor do they take seriously arguments against the current U.S. system of proportional voting with its winnertake-all electoral vote system. In any case, in the current political climate, there is little pressure for major electoral reform, although on the local level there have been attempts to require updating of voting machines, streamlining of voting processes, stipulation of recount procedures, and other technical changes to avoid a recurrence of the debacle of the 2000 election in Florida; unfortunately, efforts to replace punch-card and optical-scan ballots with computerized voting machines may have made matters worse, necessitating another cycle of reform.

> 5On the need for public financing of elections, see Nick Nyhart and Joan Claybrook, "The Dash for Cash: Public Financing Is the Only Way to End the Unfair Tilt of the 'Wealth Primary,'" Los Angeles Times, April 27, 2003. The authors' groups Public Campaign and Public Citizen have been working for public financing of elections.

6On the history of efforts to reform television advertising, see Charles Lewis, "You Get What You Pay For: How Corporate Spending Blocked Political Ad Reform and Other Stories of Influence," in Schechter (2001), pp. 62-73; and the Alliance for Better Campaigns, "Gouging Democracy: How the 3See Jim Hightower's proposals after Election 2000 Television Industry Profiteered on Campaign Grossman notes that one of broadcasting's "dirty little secrets" is its "sustained and high-priced lobbying against finance reform" (p. 75).



## Page 13

#### Toward a Critical Theory of Tourism (Continued *from page 3)*

ism also means exploring what social identities different views suggest that tourism is conare connected with different tourist spaces, tested terrain, with a variety of groups and inhow people use and consume tourist sites to terests attempting to produce and use tourism reinforce or challenge identity categories, and sights and sites for their own purposes what mechanisms regulate the distribution and (Gotham 2002; 2005a; 2005b). Against oneuse of particular tourism sites. In this sense, sided and reductive conceptions, we can recogwhile particular tourist spaces are produced by nize that there are institutional opportunities a combination of local power interests and (not necessarily equally distributed) for opposmultinational corporations, and regulated by ing groups to use tourism organizations, techvarious governmental frameworks, it is also nologies, and sites to challenge dominate necessary to explore the lived consumer ex- meanings of power relations. perience and the role of human agents in shaping meanings and representations of different tions of domination and subordination, and the spaces.

namely, that a critical theory would reject tourism. For Karl Marx ([1844] 1978), alienviews that tourists are cultural dupes that are ation manifested itself at the workplace where manipulated by the producers and organizers workers are alienated from the products of of tourism. David Harvey (1989; 1988) has their labor, the process of production, from suggested that festivals and tourism-oriented themselves as well as other human beings, and celebrations are not only important for generat- from their species-being and nature. Later, ing profit and supporting inward investment, Herbert Marcuse (1968;1964, pp. 159-200) and but for pacifying local people; a form of ideo- other Marxian scholars like Henri Lefebvre logical control referred to as "bread and cir- ([1958] 1991) drew attention to how alienation cuses." Yet residents and tourists are not sim- had moved into the realm of consumption in ply passive recipients of accepted meanings which the production and consumption of signs produced by advertisers, place marketers, and and images, rather than tangible material tourism organizations. They are actively in- goods, becomes a vehicle of commodified exvolved in the production of meaning and, in- change and communication. It is important to deed, produce meanings, some which are unin- recognize that production and consumption are tended by tourism promoters. Indeed, tourist not mutually exclusive. Marx recognized the spaces are sites of struggle where powerful dialectical nature of production-consumption economic and political interests are often in his early comments on capitalism, pointing forced to defend what they would prefer to out in the Grundrisse that "production is also have taken for granted. In my research on immediately consumption, consumption is also tourism in New Orleans, for example, I have immediately production. Each is immediately found that some local residents view tourism its opposite" (1978, p. 229). What is important as a harbinger of social instability, a threat to is that a critical theory would eschew univocal local culture, and mechanism for commercial- explanations and refuse to reduce tourism to izing local celebrations and festivals. Others any one dimension, e.g., production or view tourism as a potential resource for pre-

city to an international audience. Still others have ambivalent feelings about the growth of Analyzing the different dimensions of tour- tourism and often change their mind. Such

Fourth, a critical theory would analyze relaways that alienation, inequality, and exploita-The above points suggest a third concern, tion are built into the structure and operation of

(Continued on page 14)

## Page 14

#### Toward a Critical Theory of Tourism (Continued from page 13)

consumption. Thus, a critical theory would draw to the role that different tourism sites play as forms of commodified pleasure, how tourist sites define individuals as consumers, mation. and the impact of the tourism industry in using advertising and marketing to constitute tourist References desires and needs, and them exploiting them Adorno, Theodor. ([1967] 1989. "The Culture In for profit.

Fifth, a critical theory analyzes past developments and current happenings using the New York: Routledge. method of immanent critique. The Frankfurt Gotham, Kevin Fox. School's notion of immanent critique evaluates the existing state of affairs in terms of society's dominant ideas and values, showing in various ways the problems and unrealized potentials. The purpose of immanent critique, as Hork- Tourism, and the Transformation of Urban Space." heimer noted in *Eclipse of Reason* (1974), is to discern what aspects of existing society should be negated or transcended, in order to create a better society. To grasp the changes that are occurring, we need to move beyond mainstream accounts that stress the ascendancy of cultural politics over class and the state, or celebrate tourism for increasing consumer "choice" and "freedom." In the Dialectic of Enlightenment, Horkheimer and Adorno (1972, p. 154) noted that consumer choice and "individuality" are "the ideology of the pleasure industry." One advantage of critical theory is that it sensitizes us to the role of transnational corporations in homogenizing cultural production and constraining consumer preferences. Another advantage is that critical theory can help fashion analyses that probe specific social conditions, power dynamics, and patterns of domination and subordination Marx, within the sphere of tourism. Finally, critical theory can expose the theoretical limitations of rival explanations that the refuse to critically probe the social relations of exploitation underlying the production of tourism. These theoretical advantages can help identify the key

actors and organized interests involved in manufacturing cultural signifiers, and interrogate and explain the consequences of the actions of powerful groups, thereby pointing to possibilities for progressive societal transfor-

dustry Reconsidered," pp. 128-135 in Criti cal Theory and Society: A Reader. Edited by Stephen

Eric Bronner and Douglas MacKay Kellner.

2002. "Marketing Mardi Gras: Commodification, Spectacle, and the Political Economy of Tourism in New Orl Urban eans." Studies. 39(10): 1735-56. September 2002.

. 2005a. "Theorizing Urban Spectacles: Festivals, Forthcoming in City: Analysis of Urban Trends, Culture, Theory, Policy, Action.

. 2005b. "Tourism Gentrification: The Case o f New Orleans's Vieux Carre (French Quarter)." Forthcoming in Urban Studies.

- Harvey, David. 1989. The Condition of Postmodernity. New York: Free Press.
- 1988. "Voodoo David. Cities." New Harvey, Statesman and Society. 30 September 1988. Vol. 1, pp. 33-35.
- Henri Lefebvre ([1958] 1991). Critique of Every day Life. Volume 1: Introduction. Transl ated by John Moore. London and New York: Verso.
- Horkheimer, Max 1974. Eclipse of Reason. New York: Seabury Press.
- Horkheimer, Max and Theodor Adorno. ([1944] 1972). Dialectic of Enlightenment. New York: Herder and Herder.
- Marcuse, Herbert. 1968. Negations. Boston. Bea con Press.
- Marcuse, Herbert. 1964. One- Dimensional Man. Boston: Beacon Press.
- Karl [1844] 1978). "Estranged Labor." In Marx/Engles Reader. Edited by Robert Tucker. Second Edition. New York: Nor ton
- Marx, Karl. ([1857] 1973). "The Grundrisse." In Marx/Engles Reader. Edited by Robert Tucker. Second Edition. New York: Nor ton.



## Page 15

### What a Marxist Professor Should Teach (Continued from page 4)

fined in this way. When education fails, coer- of either the defendant or any witnesses who cion will be used to maintain order.

meet its needs. The logic of capitalism rede- police surveillance is still common. Every time fines other moral traditions to support private working people in the US gain more control property and production for profit. Alternative over parts of the state, the capitalists increase visions are neutralized, incorporated, or de- their efforts to maintain control over all funcfined as subversive. Through hegemony all tions of the state. other ideologies seem silly. At present those who would challenge the logic of capitalism a bourgeois democracy. Capital becomes poare weak and poorly organized.

porting capitalism ultimately control the Uni- free to move anywhere, but the state is limited versities, for the benefit of capital. Like gov- by geography. The needs of the bourgeoisie in the anti-government and ernment intellectual business leaders mask the fact that, mocracy. Hostility and violence, supported by the University, like government, exists for the liberals who espouse democracy, is directed benefit of big business. Dissent among govern- against anyone who, in reality, defends authenment employees or University intellectuals tic democracy. Institutional violence used have been, at times, defined as irresponsible against democratic movements in the US has and unprofessional.

real emancipation of the oppressed classes. In become the sum total of democracy. To move modern society continual use of power com- beyond the two party electoral processes is bines ideology with concentrated and organ- considered subversive. ized use of force to a point where the citizens do not always know where one begins and the cation for the violation of basic human rights other stops. The state creates government to in the US. Any group that is perceived as a establish policies, administration to carry out threat to private property or questions the aspolicies, and the military or police to ensure sumptions of a capitalist economy is treated as conformity to these policies. Because of the seditious. Mass culture has been manipulated monopoly on the legitimate use of force con- to create popular demand for the suppression trolled by the state, any revolution would re- of alternative views of life. The open support quire the elimination of the existing state. The for neo-colonialism around the world with vioold state reflects certain relationships of ex- lence as the official policy while preserving a ploitation; when these relations change, the old world empire is one example. Life in liberal state can no longer function properly.

or expel dissidents have been used. The extensive denials of due process and exaggerated tion. Education at its core is a lie. Liberal eduuse of police powers are widespread. Trial by

exhibiting rumor at the expense of legal procedures has been common throughout the 20<sup>th</sup> upper class values. Morality is culturally de- century. Public hearings to ruin the reputations fail to provide what the government expected Capitalism incorporates other economies to have been a major strategy. The use of covert

The totalitarian power of capital flourishes in litically more powerful than government, and The political and economic institutions sup- somewhat independent of the state. Capital is ant- a capitalist state deform and limit political debeen central to the formation of "American" There is a resistance by people in authority to political culture. Political parties and elections

Law and order has become the main justifisociety is mystified; in a way that creates a to-In US history, Immigration laws to keep out tal culture of support for a capitalist economy.

Ignorance is the main goal of liberal educa-

(Continued on page 16)

#### What a Marxist Professor Should Teach (Continued from page 15)

debate. cation is designed limit to Education supports the ethics of private property, market economy, and an elite hierarchy. Education as it stands is mis-education. The moral foundations of the core values of Donham, Donald L. (1999) History, Power, and Ideol-"American" society need to become openly questioned and debated in the classroom. The professor must join the intellectual struggle Domhoff, G. William (2000) Who Rules America? : against the highbrow millstone around every student's neck. If education is to become a medium of liberation, the University must expose it as an agent for class oppression.

The cooperation of many University profes- Engles, Frederick (1965) Peasant War in Germany New sors with policy makers during the cold war seriously compromised the moral justification Engles, Frederick (1970) The Role of Force in History of higher education. The University participated directly and indirectly in worldwide aggression and state supported terrorism. The primary concern with many in administration Engles, Frederick (1978) Anti-During New York: Interwas to protect the University's source of income, thus creating a loyal slave of Empire. The Community College was created at the Francisconi, Michael Joseph (1998) Kinship, Capitalother end of the academic spectrum to "cool out" working aspirants, who received an education that did not threaten the elite.

sabotage within the ranks of the University. Everything must be called into question, including higher education. We must explore the Lenin, V.I. (1970a) The State and Revolution Peking: historical and sociological roots of all academic departments. Who benefits and who doesn't by the underlying assumptions? How does what we teach fit into the ideology of hegemony? Education that is not subversive is not education.

#### References

- Afanasyev, V.G. (1985) Historical Materialism New York: International Publishers.
- Bakunin, Michael (1970) God and the State New York: Dover.
- University of Minnesota Press.

## From the Left Summer 2005

- Bukharin, Nikolai (1969) Historical Materialism Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.
- Cabral, Amilcar (1969) Revolution in Guinea New York: Monthly Review.
- Careron, Kenneth (1995) Dialectical Materialism and Modern Science New York: International Publishers.
- ogy: Central Issues in Marxism and Anthropology Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Power and Politics Fourth Edition Boston: McGraw Hill.
- Engles, Frederick (1955) The Conditions of the Working Class in England New York: International Publishers.
- York: International Publishers.
- New York: International Publishers.
- Engles, Frederick (1975) Origin of the Family, Private Property and the State New York: International Publishers.
- national Publishers.
- Foster, John Bellamy (2000) Marx's Ecology: Materialism and Nature New York: Monthly Review.
  - ism, Change: The Informal Economy of the Navajo, 1868-1998 New York: Garland Publishing.
- We professors must engage in seditious Kropotkin, Peter (1970) Revolutionary Pamphlets New York: Dover.
  - Lenin, V.I. (1973) What is to be Done Peking: Foreign Language Press.
    - Foreign Language Press.
  - Lenin, V.I. (1970b) Left Wing Communism: An Infantile Disorder Peking: Foreign Language Press.
  - Luxemburg, Rosa (1970) The Russian Revolution and Leninism or Marxism Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.
  - Luxemburg, Rosa (1971) Selected Political Writings Rosa Luxemburg Edited by Dick Howard New York: Monthly Review.
  - Luxemburg, Rosa (1976) The National Question: Selected Writings by Rosa Luxemburg New York: Monthly Review.
- Bottomore Tom (1993) Political Sociology Minneapolis: Marx, Karl (1967) Capital Vol. One New York: International Publishers.

## From the Left Summer 2005

Marx, Karl (1967) Capital Vol. Two New York: Inter- The Problem of Generations Revisited national Publishers.

- Marx, Karl (1967) Capital Vol. Three New York: Inter- Loyola University of Chicago national Publishers.
- Marx, Karl and Frederick Engels (1947) The German Ideology New York: International Publishers.
- Polanyi, Karl (1944) The Great Transformation Boston: Beacon Press.
- Szymanski, Albert (1978) The Capitalist State and the Politics of Class Cambridge, Massachusetts: Winthrop.
- Results and Prospects New York: Pathfinder.
- Trotsky, Leon (1969) Terrorism and Communism Ann Teaching Marxism Arbor: University of Michigan Press.
- Turner V. W. (1996) Schism and Continuity in an African Society: A Study of Ndembu Village Life Oxford: Berg.
- White, James D. (2001) Lenin: The Practice and Theory of Revolution Hampshire, England: Palgrave.

#### ASA 2005 Marxist Sessions

#### Political Economy, Race, and Gender in a **Post-September 11 World**

Session Organizer: Karen Bettez Halnon, Pennsylvania State University kbh4@psu.edu

#### 9/11, the Media, and Bush Hegemony

Douglas Kellner, UCLA

#### "Blood, Culture & Vicious People." Right Wing Strategies for Protecting America Post-9/11

Carina Bandhauer, Western Connecticut State University

Days of War and Cut-Backs: Class, Race, and Gender in the Post-9/11 United States Barbara Chasin, Montclair State University

The Politics of Imperial Plunder and War: Its Impact on Filipino Women on the Home Front and Across National Borders Ligaya Lindio-McGovern

Indiana University-Kokomo

Lauren Langman

Big Bold and Brazen Lies, a Cowardly Media, and Dicey Voting Machines: How the Republicans Did it Again Douglas Kellner, University of California

The Left and Elections: Will it Ever Learn? Trotsky, Leon (1969) The Permanent Revolution and G. William Domhoff, University of California

Session Organizer and Presider: Lauren Langman, Loyola University of Chicago Panel: Kevin B. Anderson, Purdue University Edna Bonacich, University of California Riverside Joe Feagin, Texas A&M University

John Bellamy Foster, University of Orgeon Erik Olin Wright, University of Wisconsin

**Description**: Marxism, as a critique of capitalist political economy and its contradictions, was rooted in the class system of the 19<sup>th</sup> C. The material foundations of capitalist domination rested on as a market economy where wealth was based on the ownership of private property or sale of wage labor that in turn led to the alienation and exploitation of the working classes. Since its early formulations, Marxism has been greatly refined and developed and now offers a crucial perspective for the sociological understanding of a broad range of social phenomenon. This session is oriented to the larger sociological community concerned with teaching the leading edges of research and theory. A number of well-known, Marxist scholars will share their expertise along a broad range of contemporary issues to show the continued importance of the Marxist Tradition to sociology in general. Salient topics will include the contemporary class, race, and gender systems that are now located in a globalized context, the impact of capitalism on the environment, and the diversity of Marxist theoriesespecially the more humanist and critical theories.





## From the Left Summer 2005

#### **ASA 2005 Marxist Roundtables**

Table 1: Public Sociology: Marxist and Non-<br/>Marxist ViewsDiscussant: Stephanie McSpirit

"A Critique of the Public Sociology Program," Paul Paolucci

"Activism and the University Setting," Joanna Hadjicostandi

"Why Public Sociology is Neither," Mathieu Deflem

Table 2: Institutions, Spectacle, and Commod-ity CulturePresider: Matthew Irvin

"Howard Stern Carnival and Political Transformation," Karen Bettez Halnon and Sharon Gerczyk

*"Gangsta Rap: Cultural Capital, Community Cohesion and Political Resistance-Meaning Making in Music Production,"* Darby Southgate

"Co-opting Feminism for Profit: Wedding Planners and the Commodity Frontie," Kristin Blakely

**Table 3: New Perspectives for Marxist Theory**Discussant: Jacqueline Carrigan

"Bringing Multitudes Back In: Rethinking the Persistence of the Subject in Sociology," Todd Bowers

"Reflecting Cultural Values," Purna Mohanty

"No Marxists in China?," Stuard Shafer

Table 4: Inequalities of Race, Gender, Class,and the Household UnitPresider: Jennifer LehmannDiscussant: Maya Becker

"Adolescent Alcohol Use: The Impact of Parental Attitudes and Behaviors," Frieda Fowler

*"The Social and Cultural Forces Impacting the Experience of Mothering,"* Jeane-Anne Sutherland-Bindas

"Racial Disparities in Wealth and Marital Satisfaction," Joe Michael

**Table 5: Labor Theory and Process**Discussant: Matt Vidal

"The Walmartization of America: The Impact on Labor Practices and Urban Environment," Lloyd Klein, Steve Lang, and Donal Malone

"Alienation and Propensity," Micah Holland

Table 6: Violence and Right Radicalism and theGlobal and National LevelsPresider: Daniel EganDiscussant: Thomas Keil

"Evolution of Fascism: Class Perspectives versus Psychological Perspectives," Alan Spector

"Competing Visions of Loyalism in Post-Industrial Belfast," Carolyn Gallaher

"Legitimate Authority vs. Violence: Attitudes of Audiences in the Caucasus and the USA," Jeffrey Halley



## From the Left Summer 2005

#### **Book Announcements**

Globalization and Cross-Border Labor Solidarity in the Americas: The Anti-Sweatshop Movement and the Struggle (Routledge, 2005) by Ralph Armbruster-Sandoval, University of California, Santa Barbara

One of the first in-depth, comparative studies on the contemporary anti-sweatshop movement, the book examines four case studies of cross-border labor solidarity campaigns involving Central American garment workers, social justice activists, and non-government organizations. Within these campaigns, wages and working conditions sometimes improved, but these gains were not broadened or sustained over time. The book examines why these various outcomes occurred and concludes with some suggestions for addressing and potentially overcoming some of the obstacles that the contemporary anti-sweatshop movement currently faces.

*Breaking the Code of Good Intentions: Everyday Forms of Whiteness* (Roman & Littlefield, 2004) by Melanie E. L. Bush; foreword by Joe R. Feagin

Examining the contemporary white experience, BREAKING THE CODE OF GOOD INTENTIONS examines why most white people in the United States believe we have achieved racial equality, even though social and economic indicators suggest otherwise. Drawing on systematic research conducted at the largest urban public university in the country, Melanie Bush explores white students' perceptions about identity, privilege, democracy, and inter-group relations.

Framed within an analysis of economic and political transitions that have occurred within the United States and globally in the second half of the twentieth century, the author examines the shift in public opinion from a presumption of collective responsibility for the common good, toward a belief in the social survival of the fittest.

Concluding with recommendations for academia and society at large, the author contends that the time is overdue for the dismantling of narratives that align ordinary whites with global elites. Indeed, she argues, the very future of humanity depends on challenging this persistent pattern.

### The Downing Street Memo-Why It's Important

Andrew Austin, University of Wisconsin-Green Bay

**B** efore the war, President Bush gave two reasons for attacking Iraq. First, Iraq was stockpiling weapons of mass destruction (WMD). Second, Iraqi president Saddam Hussein was an ally of al Qaeda terrorists, who had allegedly attacked the US on September 11, 2001. The president insisted that these facts presented an imminent threat to national security. A chorus of hawks warned that Saddam might provide terrorists with WMD. He therefore had to be disarmed or removed.

These reasons were outlined in the congressional resolution, "Authorization for Use of Military Force Against Iraq," which sanctioned armed force if the president determined within 48 hours of military action two things: (1) the US could not achieve the goals of disarming Iraq and protecting national security by "diplomatic or other peaceful means alone" and (2) war was "consistent with the United States and other countries continuing to take the necessary actions against international terrorist and terrorist organizations, including those nations, organizations, or persons who planned, authorized, committed or aided the terrorist attacks," that occurred on 9/11, 2001.

On October 16, 2002, the resolution passed by wide margins in the Senate (77-23) and the House (296-133). Thus, a majority of elected representatives in Congress, drawn from both major political parties, expressed their belief that the reasons for military action against Iraq given by President Bush were valid and sound. In a signed letter to Congress dated March 18, 2003, the president restated verbatim and without justification of the conditions

(Continued on page 20)

## Page 20

#### The Downing Street Memo-Why It's Important (Continued from page 19)

outlined in the October resolution. That evening, in a televised address, he gave Saddam 48 hours to leave Iraq and warned Iraqis, "Do not destroy oil wells." Two days later, US fixed around the policy." warplanes began pounding Baghdad. Iraqi military forces were defeated in a matter of cuss how they might justify war. weeks and on May 1, 2003 the president pro- from the meeting reveal that Blair argued for c l a i m e d victory

of the invasion to find either weapons of mass this rationale. "Regime change per se is not a destruction or ties to al Qaeda. Indeed, all the proper basis for military action under internaevidence the White House presented to the tional law," they advised. Officials suggested American public and Congress was false. How that the government might manufacture was it possible that the president took the na- "conditions necessary to justify government tion to war based on completely erroneous in- military action, which might include an ultimaf 0 m а

ligence community. It was an "intelligence litical framework" for military action. failure." Having constructed a scapegoat, Re- minutes record that Blair had already agreed to publicans and their Democratic allies collected support war in a meeting with Bush in Crawthe various intelligence agencies together un- ford. der the National Intelligence Director, a cabinet-level post currently held by John Negro- British Foreign Secretary Jack Straw to Blair, ponte, relieving the director of the Central In- Straw complained that the president's "axis of telligence Agency of his duties as the presi- evil" speech had made the case for attacking dent's senior intelligence advisor and head of Iraq much harder and that Blair would need to the intelligence community. Bush had accom- find a way "to delink the three, and to show plished something quite profound: he had thor- why military action against Iraq is so much oughly politicized the intelligence community.

On May 1, 2005, The Sunday Times of London published the Downing Street Memorandum, the minutes of a July 23, 2002 meeting between British national security officials and UK Prime Minister Tony Blair. Marked "Secret and strictly personal-UK eyes only," the minutes concern the observations of then director of MI6 (the US equivalent of the CIA), Richard Dearlove, who had just returned from Washington DC after meeting with US

intelligence officials. He told Blair that Bush had already made the decision to go to war and that military action would be "justified by the conjunction of terrorism and WMD." He reported, "the intelligence and facts were being

Two days earlier, Blair's cabinet met to dis-Minutes war on the grounds of regime change; how-However, US officials failed in the aftermath ever, members of the cabinet were skeptical of ? tum for the return of UN weapons inspectors to Bush pointed the finger of blame at the intel- Iraq." They noted that Bush also lacked "a po-The Texas on April 2002. On March 25, 2002, in a memorandum from more justified than against Iran and North Korea." Moreover, Straw pointed out, "there has But was the Iraq war a failure of intelligence? been no credible evidence to link Iraq with Objectively, the threat UBL and Al Qaida. from Iraq has not worsened as a result of 11 September."

> Several other top-level British government memoranda preceded these memos. These expressed great doubt that anything new with respect to Iraq had emerged sufficient to justify war. Blair's political director Peter Ricketts wrote on March 22, 2002 that "the best survey of Iraq's WMD programmes will not show

> > (Continued on page 21)



## Page 21

The Downing Street Memo-Why It's Important (Continued from page 20)

scrambling to establish a link between Iraq and sured Herskowitz that "if I had that much capi-Al Qaida is so far frankly unconvincing." He tal, I'm not going to waste it." characterized the war as "a grudge between Bush and Saddam." In a memo dated March dence for what many observers have long 18, 2002, British Ambassador to the US Chris- claimed, namely that the president was lying topher Meyer recounts a meeting with Paul both about reasons the US went to war and the Wolfowitz in which the difficulty of selling the facts surrounding those reasons. war to the UK and in Europe was lamented. In Blair had settled upon WMD knowing that the a March 12, 2002 memo, in a conversation be- evidence did not support this reason, so "the tween Condoleezza Rice and British foreign intelligence and facts were being fixed around policy advisor David Manning, it was noted the policy." As Wolfowitz put it in a May 28, that the US president was struggling to come 2003 interview with Vanity Fair, "For bureauwith justification up a for

us explain comments made by then-Secretary invading Iraq] because it was the one reason of State Colin Powell on February 24, 2001 in everyone Cairo, Egypt. Saddam "has not developed any significant capability with respect to weapons dent was lying before the war, a majority of the of mass destruction," Powell said. "He is un- American public and the Congress had faith able to project conventional power against his that the evidence Bush provided to justify war neighbors." Then-National Security Advisor, in Iraq was sound. The consequences of that Rice, appearing on CNN July 29, 2001, rein- faith have been catastrophic. As I write this forced Powell's claims: "We are able to keep article, fighting in Iraq has killed 1,722 US serarms from him. His military forces have not vice personnel, wounded 12,855, killed probabeen rebuilt." House officials were claiming that Saddam tens of thousands more, and incurred hundreds was a threat to international peace and secu- of billions of dollars in military spending and rity. Vice-president Cheney claimed, "there is property damage. no doubt that Saddam Hussein now has weapons of mass destruction." And in February truth reflects a governing philosophy. In an 2003, Powell said, "We know that Saddam October 17, 2004 New York Times Magazine Hussein is determined to keep his weapons of article, Ron Suskind recounts a conversation mass destruction, is determined to make he had with a Bush aid. The aid said that indimore."

and the summer of 2002? WMD had become "believe that solutions emerge from your judi-Bush's excuse to wage war against Iraq-a war, journalist and Bush's ghostwriter Mickey Her- the way the world really works anymore," the skowitz reveals, Bush had long wanted. "One aid continued. of the keys to being seen as a great leader is to when we act, we create our own reality." be seen as a commander-in-chief," Bush said to

Herskowitz in 1999. "My father had all this political capital built up when he drove the much advance in recent years" and that "US Iraqis out of Kuwait and he wasted it." He as-

Thus these memos provide compelling evi-Bush and war. cratic reasons, we settled on one issue, weap-What we learn from these documents helps ons of mass destruction [as justification for could on." agree

Despite the many of us who knew the presi-Yet, in August 2002, White bly more than 100,000 Iraqi civilians, wounded

The way the White House plays with the viduals like Suskind lived "in what we call the What changed between the winter of 2002 reality-based community." Such individuals cious study of discernible reality." "That's not "We're an empire now, and

(Continued on page 22)

#### The Downing Street Memo-Why It's Important (Continued from page 21)

With proper attention to these memos, it should become clear to everybody but the most ideologically deceived that president Bush took the nation to war based upon a reality that he and his operatives created-a lie to expand Among the processes that signal globalization is the and entrench American Empire.



**Research Committee on Alienation Theory and Research RC36** RC36 main page

#### **Programme Co-ordinator**

Lauren Langman Department of Sociology Loyola University of Chicago 6525 Sheridan Road Chicago IL 60626 USA LLang944@aol.com

## **Call for Papers**

Contributions are invited the following sessions:

#### Session 1

Keynote Session: featuring Patricia Hill Collins Organiser: Lauren Langman, Loyola University, USA, LLang944@aol.com

#### Session 2

Marginality, sociology and the sociologist Panel session

#### Organiser: Karen Halnon, Pennsylvania State University, USA, <u>kbh4@psu.edu</u>

This session will explore the multiple applications of marginality to sociology and the sociologist, with particular emphasis on (1) the importance, if not necessity, of marginality to the sociologist; and (2) the marginal position of sociology as a discipline.

## From the Left Summer 2005

#### Session 3

Ethnicity and citizenship: is alienation inevitable? Joint session of Research Commite on Alineation Theory and Research RC36 and Research Committe on Ethnic, Race and Minority Relations RC05 Organiser: Devorah Kalekin, University of Haifa, Israel, dkalekin@construct.haifa.ac.il

relentless rise in migration from periphery to center global south to global north, east to west, as well as to 'magnet' localities in distinct areas of the world. Spurred by a need for sanctuary or by a search for personal betterment, migrants are uprooted from their native habitat and fated to live as ethnic 'others'. Access to the rights and privileges of citizenship may be denied or delayed, thus exacerbating difficulties. Papers presented in this session and the discussion will explore the extent to which structural and inter-actional experiences of alienation inevitably perpetuate otherness, and limit the possibility of minorities' realizing their full human potential. Session 4

#### Alienation and labor in a post apartheid society

Organiser: Gerhard Schutte, Wisconsin University, USA, agschutte@rcn.com

Despite the promise of better opportunities, working conditions and wages, workers in post apartheid South Africa face unemployment, retrenchment and neglect in the wake of the privatization policies of the new, democratically elected government. Participants are invited to submit papers discussing the alienating experiences and effects of recent trends in the political economy of the country.

#### Session 5

#### What is to be done - And who decides?

Organiser: Knud Jensen, Danish University of Education, Denmark, knud@dpu.dk

The Role of the Middle Classes in the process of globalization. Layers of middle class play an adversarial role in local and global political processes, having impact on enclosure and exclosure, allocation of positions, processes of democratic decision making and alienation of work, the mix of public finance and private enterprises and the contemporary qualities of life.

#### Session 6

From wage labor to consumer culture: Alienation theory in the 21stC

Organiser: James Connor, Australian National University, james.connor@anu.edu.au

The current species-being of existence is often claimed to be an alienated one, not only in Marx's traditional conception of being estranged from the product of one's labour, but in being estranged from forming a meaningful identity in a globalised, rationalised and corporatised



## From the Left Summer 2005

world. This session seeks to re-theorise alienation and the experience of it in the 21st century by identifying the ways and means of alienation today - from work, to leisure, to consumption, to name three broad sites. Papers are sought that bring alienation theory into the global, consumerist and rationalised late-capitalist world of the present and seek to explain the position of the alienated person.

#### Session 7

#### The quality of social existence in a globalizing world Special session on Congress theme

Organiser: James Connor, Australian National University, james.connor@anu.edu.au

Marx, first theorized alienation as a consequence of wage labor, the basis of wealth in capitalist societies. Alienation for Marx was seen in powerlessness, fragmented social ties and dehumanization. But since Marx's pioneering insights, it would seem that Rationality, the legitimating ideology of capital, meant that alienation had migrated from the factory floor to office. But further, we might also note that capitalism has evolved into a system of globalized capital largely devoted to consumerism. This session will be devoted to examining the nature of alienation in the new forms of work organizations, the forms alienation takes in third world sweat shops as well as the gated communities of the affluent first world, the alienation from Nature as seen in our ecological crisis, and diverse forms of popular culture. Finally, we might also note that the conditions of social existence today has also been seen in various attempts to overcome alienation in such diverse expressions as the Global Justice movements or new age pagan religions.